

Central Lincolnshire Local Plan Regulation 18 Consultation June 2021

Consultation Response Form

From 30 June to 24 August 2021, we are consulting on the Draft version of the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan, plus the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). This is the second of three rounds of consultation on this new Plan, which can be viewed at:

www.central-lincs.org.uk

This form can be used for responding to the consultation, however we would prefer you to make comments online using the Online Consultation Portal found at the link above. Alternatively you can email this form to talkplanning@central-lincs.org.uk or post it to Central Lincolnshire Local Plan Team, c/o North Kesteven District Council, Kesteven Street, Sleaford, NG34 7EF.

All comments must be received by 11.59pm on 24 August 2021. Late comments will not be accepted.

Part A: Your Details

| Name: Timberland & Thorpe Tilney | Agent name: F C Burbidge |
|--|--------------------------|
| Organisation: Parish Council | Organisation: |
| Address: c/o 25 Station Road Timberland Lincoln | Address: |
| Postcode:LN4 3SA | Postcode: |
| Email: timberlandparishclerk@hotmail.com | Email: |
| Tel:07557102755 | Tel: |
| Signature: (not required for electronic submission) | Date: 2.08.2021 |

Important information about data protection:

Any comments you make as part of the consultations into the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan will be made public as it is a statutory requirement to publish comments. We will publish these online. If you have any concerns then please contact us. The Councils will however remove personal email addresses, postal addresses, telephone numbers and signatures. Your information will be retained by the Councils as part of our statutory plan making duty, until no later than six months after the Plan is adopted, at which point your information will be securely deleted / destroyed. We will consult you at subsequent stages of the Plan's preparation to seek further comments from you and to keep you informed.

Part B: 1 Your Comments

If you want to comment on several policies, paragraphs or other matters, please use a separate Part B for each representation. Please provide as much information as possible: for example, if you disagree with a policy please let us know why and tell us what alternative wording or approach you think we should use. If you need more space, please continue on a separate sheet.

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? Policy S4: Housing Development in and Adjacent to Villages | |
|---|--|
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/site / policy / paragraph because: |

General Comments:

The Parish Council neither supports nor objects to the above referenced site / policy / paragraph but make the following observations:

The extrapolation of this policy in Appendix 1 gives Timberland a target growth of 17 new dwellings by 2040 based on an assumption of 215 dwellings at 1.4.18. However, this baseline appears to be derived from the Timberland profile in Site Allocations Settlement Analysis, which contains incorrect house building statistics over the last decade, it's permitted growth has since been exceeded, and links to the Policy Map, which is inaccurate in several respects (eg cricket pitch lost four years ago, number of employers, Local Green Space not recognised).

We request a dialogue with Planning Services to understand the assumptions and logic behind the current Timberland baseline dwelling figures and to agree any new proposed future planning growth figures.

We very much support the statement in 1.1.3 that "all infrastructure, such as ... sewage disposal, [is] provided at the same time as the new homes". We ask that an explicit link is made in Policy S4 to Policies S20 and S44, and that a mechanism is set out for enforcing the provision of the necessary information. (This Parish Council has been trying for some years to obtain an assessment of the village's drainage and sewerage capacity – without success).

Only when we have agreement on the correct statistical position, and have had our infrastructure concerns satisfied, can a target growth (to which we do not in principle object) be determined.

Part B:2 Your Comments

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? Policy S15: Wider energy infrastructure | |
|---|---|
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| General Comments: The Parish Council neither supports nor objects to the the following observations: | above referenced site / policy / paragraph but make |
| We propose that the Local Plan should include a common vegetable oil) as a greener alternative to kerosene. The which are largely dependent on oil-burning heating systems. | s is particularly relevant to rural areas such as ours |
| | |
| | |



Part B:3 Your Comments

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? Policy S20: Flood risk and water resources | |
|--|---|
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| We support the requirement for development proposals to demonstrate that "foul water treatment and disposal already exists or can be provided", and all the measures set out under Protecting the Water Environment. Drainage of surface water is a significant issue in this parish – as recently as July 11 properties in the village were flooded after a storm, and as with sewerage, we have been unable to obtain an assessment of the existing system. Similarly, to our comments on S4, we ask how these requirements will be enforced. We also note that our Parish Council Clerk has recently been told by a Planning Officer that our drainage/sewerage concerns should be addressed to Anglian Water and are not a matter for Planning Services. Will this change when the 2021 Local Plan is adopted? | |

| Part B: 4 Your Comments | |
|--|--|
| If you want to comment on several policies, paragraphs representation. Please provide as much information as please let us know why and tell us what alternative word more space, please continue on a separate sheet. | possible: for example, if you disagree with a policy |
| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? Policy S21: Affordable housing. | |
| | |

| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| General Comments: The Parish Council neither supports nor objects to the the following observations: | above referenced site / policy / paragraph but make |
| We do not know if Timberland is one of the parish affordable housing requirement in developments of that we (and arguably all small villages) are included derived to ensure a minimum 20% of all permitted number of dwellings in individual scheme proposal | of five (not ten) or more dwellings – if not, we ask led. Moreover, we ask that a mechanism be growth is affordable housing, regardless of the |
| | |
| | |

Part B: 5 Your Comments

| Support: The Parish Council supports the above referenced document/ site / policy /paragraph because: | Object : I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
|--|---|
| We strongly support the statement "Planning permission will only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is, or will be, sufficient infrastructure capacity." Again, we ask how this will be enforced – because in our experience, it isn't at the moment. | |
| We note that "improved broadband" is listed as a project in the Central Lincs Infrastructure Development Plan. We ask that this is also included in the Local Plan, with proposals to negotiate with internet providers to widen accessibility and improve broadband speeds. | |

Part B: 6 Your Comments

| Policy S46: Accessibility and Transport | |
|--|---|
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| General Comments: The Parish Council neither supports nor objects to the above referenced site / policy / paragraph but make the following observations: We very much support the aspiration to reduce dependence on private motor cars, and thus reduce emissions, and to address the issue of elderly and vulnerable residents who do not have their own transport. However, in Timberland these intentions have been undermined by the withdrawal of a regular bus service some years ago. If Policy S46 is to be implemented for us, we ask that the service be reinstated. | |
| | |

Part B: 7 Your Comments

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| matter are you commenting on? | |
|---|--|
| Policy S47: Walking and Cycling Infrastructure | |
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| would like to see a general commitment to footpati new pedestrian routes. We ask that the Local Plan between relevant authorities to facilitate better ma | ould give priority to pedestrians, cyclists" We th improvement, including the encouragement of |

Part B: 8 Your Comments

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? Policy S49: Community facilities | |
|---|--|
| Support: I support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Object: I object to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| We support the intent of this policy. "In most instances, the loss of community facilities will not be support." We suggest strengthening this statement by replacing "In most instances" with "In all but exceptional cases" | |
| General Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Part B: 9 Your Comments

| Which document, site, policy, paragraph or other matter are you commenting on? | |
|---|--|
| Policy S63: Local Green Spaces | |
| Support: The Parish Council support the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: | Objects: The Parish Council objects to the above referenced document/ site / policy / paragraph because: |
| Timberland Green, the area immediately south of St Andrews Church, marked Playing Field on the Policy Map, clearly meets all the criteria for an LGS (see below). Moreover, it is being considered for registration as an Asset of Community Value, and we anticipate a further ten-year lease from the County Council in 2023. We wish to add to its protection from development by its formal recognition as an LGS. Please can the designation on the Policy Map be rectified. | |

General Comments:

The Parish Council either supports or objects to the above referenced site / policy / paragraph but I make the following observations:

Criteria listed in 11.5.1:-

"Close proximity to the community".

Timberland Green directly adjoins the village.

"Demonstrably special to the community... Because of its beauty, recreational value (including playing fields), tranquillity..." Timberland Green is the only common land in the parish. Six years ago, the parish council secured funding to provide football posts and nets, basketball hoop, swings, and picnic facilities. It purchased a mower and ensured the Green is maintained to a high standard. There is an annual safety inspection by ROSPA. As the only play area in the village, it is well used by children, as well as adults of all ages who enjoy its beauty and peacefulness. It is used for an annual Family Fun Day which is well supported by parishioners.

"Local in character and not an extensive tract of land." The Green is a defined space with clear boundaries.